

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES OF PARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Paten) and Trademark Office
Addres: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.D. Box 14 D
Alxandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gbv

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,356	02/25/2004	John A. Hayden	A0312.70517US00	4549
23628	7590 09/14/2006		EXAMINER	
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC			SUN, SCOTT C	
FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	/A 02210-2206		2182	<del> </del>
			DATE MAILED: 09/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/786,356	HAYDEN, JOHN A.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Scott Sun	2182			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 February 2004</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>						
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen	• •	_				
2)  Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/786,356 Page 2

Art Unit: 2182

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schmidt (US Patent #6,782,465).
- 3. Regarding claim 1, Schmidt discloses a DMA controller (figure 3) comprising: a DMA datapath (address and data bus) for transferring data from a DMA source (memory) to a DMA destination (peripheral or other memory; column 1, lines 16-20); channel control logic (logic in DMA controller) for controlling transfer of data through the DMA datapath in response to parameters (data pointers, size of data block; fields 14-16 in figure 1) contained in at least one DMA descriptor having a programmable format (variable number of data pointers shown in figure 1; column 1, lines 20-25; column 2, lines 23-35). Examiner notes that Schmidt teaches DMA descriptors having a variable number of data pointers.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,356

Art Unit: 2182

4. Regarding claim 2, Schmidt discloses claim 1 and further discloses wherein the DMA descriptor has a programmable size (up to four data pointers and size blocks, column 2, lines 23-35).

Page 3

- 5. Regarding claim 3, Schmidt discloses claim 1 and further discloses wherein the DMA descriptor has a programmable operating mode (moving one to four data blocks depending on the number of data pointers; column 2, lines 23-35). Examiner notes that a single descriptor can be programmed to direct up to four transfers.
- 6. Regarding claim 4, Schmidt discloses claim 1 and further discloses wherein DMA descriptor includes a next descriptor pointer that points to a next descriptor in a descriptor list (next descriptor pointer 12, figure 1)
- 7. Regarding claim 5, Schmidt discloses claim 1 and further discloses wherein the DMA descriptor includes a next descriptor size (last two bits of next descriptor address, figures 1 and 2) that defines a size of a next descriptor in a descriptor list (column 2, lines 29-31).
- 8. Regarding claim 6, Schmidt discloses claim 1 and further discloses wherein a size of a first DMA descriptor is defined by a register value (column 3, lines 19-41). Schmidt teaches that if all preceding descriptors are processed, a new descriptor will have the address and number of data pointers information stored in a next descriptor address register. Otherwise, this information is stored in the previous descriptor.
- 9. Regarding claim 7, Schmidt discloses claim 1 and further discloses wherein a size of the DMA descriptor is defined by a previous descriptor (column 2, lines 29-31; also see rejection for claims 5 and 6).

Application/Control Number: 10/786,356

Art Unit: 2182

10. Regarding claim 10, Schmidt discloses claim 5 and further discloses wherein the channel control logic is configured to fetch elements (data pointers and other fields) of a next descriptor in response to the next descriptor size (column 3, lines 20-26). Schmidt teaches that the next descriptor address containing bits indicating number of data pointers in a subsequent descriptor is used to process the subsequent descriptor.

Page 4

- 11. Regarding claim 11, Schmidt discloses claim 10 and further discloses wherein the channel control logic is configured to decrement a descriptor element count (counter 52) from the descriptor size during fetching of descriptor elements (column 3, lines 45-57).
- 12. Regarding claim 12, Schmidt discloses claim 1 and further discloses wherein the channel control logic is configured to fetch programmable descriptors in a list of descriptors (shown in figure 2; column 3, lines 5-17).
- 13. Regarding claim 13, Schmidt discloses claim 12 and further discloses wherein the descriptors in the list of descriptors have different formats (one to four data pointers).
- 14. Regarding claim 14, Schmidt discloses claim 12 and further discloses wherein the descriptors in the list of descriptors have different sizes (size of each descriptor depends on number of data pointers the descriptor contain; column 3, lines 54-64),
- 15. Claims 15 and 16 are substantially similar to claims 1 and 4 above. The same grounds of rejection are applied.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,356 Page 5

Art Unit: 2182

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 17. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmidt in view of Patariu (PG Pub #2004/0208314).
- 18. Regarding claim 8, Schmidt discloses claim 1 but does not disclose explicitly the DMA descriptor includes a flow mode that defines a next operation. However, Patariu discloses DMA descriptors that include a flow mode (various mode fields shown in figure 4) that defines a next operation (paragraph 44). Teachings of Schmidt and Patariu are from the same field of DMA, and specifically of DMA descriptors.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of invention to combine teachings of Schmidt with teachings of Patariu by adding the various mode fields into the DMA descriptor system of Schmidt for the benefit of expanding flexibility to data transfers including interrupt, write, read, stop, encryption/decryption modes (Patariu, paragraphs 45-49).

19. Regarding claim 9, Schmidt and Patariu combined disclose claim 8, and Patariu further discloses wherein the flow mode is selection from a stop mode, an autobuffer mode, a descriptor array mode, a small descriptor list mode and a large descriptor list mode (stop mode; paragraph 48).

#### Conclusion

20. Other publications are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to DMA descriptors. Refer to form 892, "Notice of References Cited", for a complete list of relevant prior arts cited by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Sun whose telephone number is (571) 272-2675. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:30am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim N. Huynh can be reached on (571) 272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

9/12/06